PREPARATORY ASSISTANCE ANNUAL WORK PLAN

Title: 2KEN/06/232 Development of Programme for Electoral Capacity Building in Kenya

Short title: 2KEN/06/232 Electoral Programme Development

Number: 2KEN/06/232/A ATLAS project: 00051899 ATLAS award: 00044239

Estimated annualised budg	et (in US\$): 40,000
Denmark	40,000
Total	40,000

UNDAF outcome: 2 - Enhanced capacities of key national and local institutions for improved governance

ACC/UNDP sector & subsector/goals and service lines: 2. Fostering democratic governance

Implementing partner: UNDP

Collaborating parties: Electoral Commission of Kenya (ECK), Ministry of Justice and Constitutional Affairs, civil society organisations and media houses

Estimated start date and duration: 1 June 2006 - 31 August 2006

Summary – i) Outcome, ii) Outputs, iii) Activities, iv) Inputs:

i) The outcome of this project is to develop a five-year support programme for capacity building for management of electoral processes in Kenya (CPAP V.B.a and b). ii) The project output will be development of (a) an overall support programme and (b) detailed annual work plans for 2006 and 2007 with special focus on the 2007 general elections. iii) The activities to be carried out to produce these outputs include (a) consultative meetings with stakeholders; (b) desk research, (c) drafting of programme and annual work plans. iv) The inputs required to produce the outputs above will among other things include joint assessment and project formulation mission from United Nations Department for Political Affairs/UNDP and stakeholder meetings.

Approved on behalf of	Signature	Date	\supset	Name/Title
UNDP		- 14/6/	16	Paul Andre de la Porte Resident Representative

I - Situation Analysis

Kenya has had three multi-party elections since the one-party clause in the constitution was abolished in 1991. The general elections in 2002 lead to the peaceful transition of power from the KANU government to the NARC government. In November 2005 a referendum on the proposed new constitution was held. The No-side (Orange) won the referendum and the government who favoured a Yes-vote (Banana) for the new constitution accepted this verdict by the electorate. The peaceful transition of power from one party to another and the acceptance of the 2002 general election result by all parties and the acceptance of defeat by the government in the 2005 referendum are major democratic achievements. Both the general election and the referendum were relatively peaceful. The successful conduction of the 2002 general elections of Kenya's (ECK) ability to manage electoral processes. The margins in both the 2002 general elections and the 2005 referendum were, however, large enough to give a clear winner. The Electoral Commission of Kenya and the country can still not be certain of the situation should a very close race emerge in future elections hereunder the next general elections scheduled for 2007.

USAID has funded an assessment of the pre-electoral environment (draft, April 2006) that highlights several challenges that could affect the electoral process negatively and recommendations for how the identified challenges could be addressed. Some of the key recommendations from the assessment are:

- Need for regular stakeholder meetings convened by ECK to contribute to understanding of and respect for the basic code of conducts in elections
- Need for training of key actors in the electoral process hereunder ECK staff and the Police
- Need for better donor coordination for the support to ECK
- Need for general monitoring of elections especially hate speech and violence by the civil society and the media. This should also include training of media to enable them to report more objectively on electoral events

The assessment further highlights the problems in voter registration especially among women and young people.

UNDP is currently chairing the donor group for support to elections. Denmark has pledged to channel their support to electoral processes over the next five years through UNDP. The estimated support from Denmark is US\$ 2,429,000 (DKK 15,290,000) with the following distribution:

Year	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
DKK	2,750,000	2,520,000	3,520,000	3,750,000	2,750,000
USD	437,000	400,000	559,000	596,000	437,000

It is against this background and with the possibility that other development partners decide to join such a programme that UNDP seeks to develop a five-year support programme and detailed work plans for 2006 and 2007.

II - Implementation Strategies

The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) proposes to undertake a preparatory project whose objective is to develop a five-year support programme for capacity building of electoral management and electoral processes in Kenya under the Empowerment Component of the UNDP's programme of support to Kenya, with the aim of promoting a culture of constitutionalism (CPAP V.B.a and b). The project will be implemented in collaboration with ECK, the Ministry of Justice and Constitutional Affairs, civil society organisations and media houses. UNDP will engage the required resources – professionals – to implement the programme with full transparency and accountability.

The goal shall be achieved through mission of joint assessment/project formulation team from the Electoral Assistance Division in the United Nations Department for Political Affairs and the Democratic Governance group in UNDP. The team can be supported by external electoral consultants/experts. The joint team will with reference to the USAID assessment of the pre-electoral environment and meetings and consultations with key stakeholders such as ECK, Ministry of Justice and Constitutional Affairs, civil society organisations, media houses, and development partners analyse and recommend on the needs of the Electoral Commission of Kenya and draft a five-year programme and detailed work plans for 2006 and 2007. The 5-year Programme and the 2006 and 2007 work plans must be based on UNDP standard formats. It is key that the team takes the following into consideration:

- Prioritisation within the funding framework. Make prioritised programme and work plans given the pledged funds from Denmark but also make prioritisations that would advise on an expansion of the programme and work plans given additional funding from other development partners.
- Advise if the funding should be focused in one work plan with one implementing partner or if there is need for several implementing partners (and therefore work plans) a monitoring or observation component managed by the civil society would for instance require a separate work plan.
- Look at the capacities of the proposed implementing partners in order to advise on implementing modalities (hereunder implementing partners).
- Advise on which role UNDP can play as lead donor in domestic and international monitoring and observation of the upcoming 2007 general elections.
- Make sure that the programme has clear and measurable indicators (time, outputs, outcome).
- Build in a monitoring and evaluation component into the programme.

The team must have a substantial knowledge of the Kenyan political and electoral environment. Further, it is important that the team is able to formulate programme and work plans in a clear and concise manner with measurable success indicators.

III - Management Arrangement

The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) will be the implementing partner for this annual work plan. The UNDP rules and regulations are specified in the UNDP Programming Manual.

Further, UNDP will follow the provisions stipulated in the cost agreements signed with contributing development partners.

Work plan and budget: The work plan and budget is detailed in the Annual Work Matrix below.

30

Annual Work Plan Matrix 2006

PS

2KEN/06/20++: Development of Electoral Capacity Building Programme for Kenya ATLAS project: ATLAS award:

Expected Outputs	Key Activities	Monitoring Actions	Success Indicators	Time schedule 2006			lule	Collaborating Party	Planned Budget		
				M a y	J u n	J u l	A u g		Source of Funds	Input Description	Budget Amount US\$
5-year programme document 2006 work plan	-Desk research -Meetings with stakeholders -Drafting of programme document	-Attend meeting -Review submitted programme document and	-Programme Document approved -2006 work plans approved -2007 work plans approved					UNDP, UNDPA, ECK, Ministry of Justice and Constitutional Affairs, CSOs	Penmark	Programme Development Team (travel and DSA)	28,000
2007 work plan	-Drafting of 2006 work	work plans								Consultants Facilitation of	5,000
	plans								UNDP/ UNDPA	stakeholder meetings	5,000
								Salaries for visiting team			
Programme implementation Support								UNDP	Denmark	Management Services	2,000
TOTAL											40,000